1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Ocean surface vector winds (OSVW) are used to estimate momentum transfer (surface stress) between the atmosphere and ocean, and are critically important for determining the large scale ocean circulation and transport. Vector winds are needed to estimate the ageostrophic (Ekman) component of ocean currents, and consequently are linked to atmospheric and oceanographic upwelling and downwelling, coastal upwelling, primary productivity, cross shelf transport,
ice transport, mixed layer evolution, and deep water formation. Accurate wind speeds are also essential for reliable computations of air/sea heat fluxes (e.g., sensible and latent heat fluxes) as well as mass fluxes (e.g., CO₂ and H₂O), making surface winds critically important for budgeting energy, moisture and Carbon, and for studies of ocean acidification. Wind and wave information are essential for marine safety.

The advection and offshore transport of nutrients and fresh water can be linked to the life cycle and annual variability in fish stocks. Both scalar and vector winds can be linked to upper ocean mixing, which is easily linked to ocean, atmospheric, cryospheric, and terrestrial climate change. For shorter time scale applications, surface wind vectors are also used for forecasts of storm surge and waves.

Ocean surface winds change rapidly in both time and space. Satellite-based sampling density and relatively good accuracy make satellite winds desirable data (particularly for regions with sparse in situ observations) for many related applications such as coastal upwelling, oceanic/atmospheric coupling associated with both tropical instability wave and ocean fronts [19], ocean currents [58], detection of tropical disturbances [35], wave forecasting, weather forecasting [46], and storm surge [79], to list a small sample of applications. Portions of the surface winds observing systems are also used to provide observations of sea ice extent and rainfall (fresh water flux).

Several reviews of space-based wind measurements and applications have been published (e.g., [64; 66]). The current ocean wind observing system can be further improved by means of better bias removal and calibration for very high and low wind speeds, increased temporal sampling (via a constellation), finer spatial resolution (e.g. on the ocean eddy scale and intercalibration of near-coastal winds), and improved methods of blending observations (scalar winds and vector winds) from multiple platforms.

2. DEFINITION OF SATELLITE WINDS

Satellite-derived wind speed (called equivalent neutral wind speed [94; 65] was developed to consider the influences of atmospheric stability in the conversion from ‘winds’ to stress. It has the advantage of determining a wind speed for which a neutral transfer coefficient can be used to convert the satellites’ equivalent neutral winds to a kinematic stress. An updated definition of equivalent neutral winds [12] is consistent with scatterometry, which responds to surface stress [111]. It is also considered to be applicable to SAR [107] and SSM/I [74] derived wind speeds. Unfortunately, accurate measurements of near-surface stress over water are extremely sparse relative to wind speed; therefore winds have been calibrated to equivalent neutral winds rather than wind stress [111; 88].

Another issue to consider is that scatterometer equivalent neutral winds are relative to currents [56; 21; 19] and are further modified by surface wave motion [10]. In the calculation of air/sea turbulent fluxes, currents and the effect of wave motion should be removed from earth relative winds (e.g., [53]), which gives satellite observations a considerable advantage over in situ (earth relative) observations. Improvements in our understanding of remotely sensed winds made in the last decade are expected to improve ocean forcing in the next decade.

3. WIND SENSORS

Instruments that are routinely used to measure vector winds (speed and direction or two vector components) will be discussed first, followed by instruments that are typically used to measure scalar winds (speed only). Vector winds are useful for a wider range of applications, but both are quite useful for many applications. The observational needs are most consistent with scatterometers, particularly DFS or XOVWM (section 6); however SAR is more capable very close to land and where very fine resolution is required. Currently data are freely available from USA satellites, ERS-2 and ASCAT.

3.1. Vector Wind Sensors

3.1.1. Scatterometers

There is a long history of scatterometer observations, which are based on active microwave systems: Seasat [51], ERS1 and ERS2 [8], NSCAT [81; 106; 115], SeaWinds on QuikSCAT [103], SeaWinds on ADEOS2, and ASCAT [30]. These instruments provide very accurate winds in rain-free conditions and in some raining conditions [24; 25; 112; 82]. They have in-swath grid spacing on scales of typically 25km, with special products [71; 117; 118; 85] having grid spacing as fine as 2.5km (Fig. 1). The temporal sampling is a function of the orbit and the swath width, with the SeaWinds instruments having very good sampling for synoptic scale weather forcing of the ocean, with substantial information on the mesoscale. The main weaknesses of scatterometers are rain contamination for some rain conditions (far more so for Ku-band than C-band), a lack of data near land (15km for QuikSCAT; 30km for ASCAT), and temporal sampling. Multiple scatterometers greatly improve the temporal sampling [70]. The key advantages are more data in rainy conditions and much better directions.

3.1.2. Passive Polarimetric Sensors

WindSat, launched in January 2003, is the sole instrument using passive polarimetric techniques for
estimating ocean surface vector winds [33]. Although in clear skies and winds in the range of 6m/s to 20m/s, WindSat OSVW are of comparable quality to scatterometry [9], an NRC Workshop Report “Options to Ensure Climate Record from NPOESS and GOES-R” concludes that there is significantly larger wind direction uncertainty in WindSat retrievals at typical wind speeds [32]. Furthermore, different versions of WindSat wind speeds can be biased either high [3; 91] or low in high wind speed conditions such as tropical or extratropical cyclones. WindSat wind vector retrievals are much more susceptible to error in cloudy and rainy conditions, which are often associated with extreme weather events. This susceptibility affects the use of WindSat OSVW forecast systems for wind warnings and for the development of accurate climatologies of such events [14]. However, new rain algorithms are available to improve Windsat winds [75], providing similar quality to QuikScat in all but very heavy rain and very low winds. Measurements are also more subject to contamination by land in the antenna sidelobes. Consequently, WindSat measurements are not possible closer than 50 to 75km off the coast, depending on what channels are used.

3.1.3. Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)

C-band and L-band SAR systems have been used to retrieve surface winds on ERS1, ERS2, Envisat, RADARSAT1, ALOS, and RADARSAT2. Also, X-band SAR algorithms are being developed to retrieve winds on COSMO-SkyMed and TerraSAR-X. SAR has the advantage of being able to generate images on a much finer spatial scale (as small as <10 m). Reference [107] calibrated RADARSAT1 images, with a wind retrieval model function relating wind speed to the normalized radar cross section, relative wind direction and local incidence angle, motivated by scatterometry. However, the directional dependence of SAR-derived vector winds is much less certain than for scatterometers. Since there are at least two geophysical parameters (wind speed and direction) modulating SAR measurements, the inversion of winds from SAR data is inherently underdetermined. Some wind direction information can be estimated by measuring the orientation of the wind-induced streaks visible in most SAR images [110; 43]. Many retrieval studies with both VV and HH polarization SAR have been carried out [107; 42; 83].

3.2. Scalar Wind Sensors

Surface wind speeds (at 10 m height, without directions) are routinely estimated from passive microwave radiometers (SSM/I, AMSR-E, TMI, SSMIS) on a spatial scale of roughly 25 km. These instruments are quite accurate (rms differences <1m/s relative to buoys) under typical ocean conditions [74; 76], but do not retrieve winds in rain [40]. Excellent agreement is found between passive radiometer winds and vector winds.
from scatterometers despite different measuring methods [116], with the exception of a few small regions of bias. Since 1996, there have been three or more radiometers in polar orbits resulting in good spatial and temporal sampling, covering 95% of Earth’s ocean surface in a given day [123]. Combined surface wind data sets are available online from several sources [4; 7; 124].

Altimeters can also accurately estimate wind speed on a smaller spatial scale. However, the sampling from current altimeters is very sparse.

3.3. Sampling Issues in Scatterometer Observations of Surface Winds

Scatterometers measure surface winds with a resolution of ~25 km across a swath width of ~1000 km. A given location is typically sampled less than twice per day by a single scatterometer. Because of the rapid evolution of weather systems, wind fields constructed from scatterometer measurements can be quite noisy without sufficient spatial and temporal smoothing [97]. The sampling errors for a given amount of smoothing vary temporally and geographically in complicated ways (see upper panels of Fig. 2).

![Figure 2](image-url)

Figure 2. Upper panels: Time series of the RMS mapping errors of meridional (solid lines) and zonal (dotted lines) wind estimates constructed at latitudes of 25°N and 40°N from various combinations of scatterometer observations with 2° by 2° by 4-day smoothing. The three solid lines correspond to different assumed forms for the spatial autocorrelation function. The small differences show that the results are not strongly sensitive to the details of the spatial autocorrelation function; the most limiting factor for construction of wind fields is the short decorrelation time scale of the surface wind field. (The thin solid line in the QuikSCAT panel is a time series of the RMS mapping errors at 25°N with 2° by 2° by 1.5-day smoothing.) (From [97].) Lower panels: Along-track wavenumber spectra of wind speed and the zonal and meridional wind components in the eastern North Pacific, accumulated over 2004, computed from QuikSCAT observations (heavy solid lines), NCEP analyses (thin solid lines) and ECMWF analyses (dashed lines; the 2004 version had ~40km grid spacing compared to the current ~25km). Note the dropoff of spectral energy in the two operational forecast models at wavelengths shorter than about 1000 km (wavenumbers higher than about $10^3$ cycles per km). (From [20].)
As shown from the wavenumber spectra in the lower panels of Fig. 2, present operational global weather forecast models are not able to resolve the ~25 km scales measured by scatterometers (e.g., [20]). The models can potentially benefit greatly from assimilation of scatterometer winds; however, practical limitations within assimilation schemes complicate the ingestion of small-scale information. Such assimilation is subject to the same sampling issues, which must be mitigated by down-weighting the scatterometer observations. The benefits of a constellation of scatterometers are evident from the smaller sampling errors shown in the middle row of panels of Fig. 2.

4. PROGRESS IN OPERATIONAL EXPLOITATION

The primary operational benefits of satellite OSVW observations are the improvements of weather forecasting and warnings. In addition, knowledge of the winds and waves over the ocean is also essential for the maritime transportation, fishing, and oil production industries, as well as for search and rescue efforts, and the accurate tracking and management of marine hazards such as oil spills. It is also essential for determining the ocean forcing, wind induced mixing, currents [59], and air/sea CO₂ fluxes.

4.1. Impact on NWP Winds

The assimilation of ERS1/2 scatterometer winds was reported to dramatically improve the forecasts of tropical cyclones [46] and has been operationally implemented at ECMWF since the mid-1990s [47]. Many operational weather centers began assimilating QuikSCAT data in early 2002, with preliminary assessments indicating a positive impact [5]. The improvements were larger in the storm track regions, where there is relatively large and rapid variability in the winds [16; 48]. The assimilation of satellite data is particularly important in the southern hemisphere, where much fewer in situ surface data are available [41]. Wind speeds from passive radiometers have been operationally assimilated for a longer time period; and could benefit from improved sampling (see section 3.3) in time. However, the vector information from scatterometers has a greater impact for data assimilation [2]. Regardless of these beneficial effects in NWP, current NWP analyses do not contain the mesoscale structures on the ocean eddy scale as determined by scatterometers (e.g., see section 5.5).

4.2. Impact of Satellite Winds on Wave Model Development, Applications and Forecasting

The assimilation of scatterometer data into NWPs in major forecast offices has improved skill in wave forecasting as well as the development of long term wave climatologies and extreme wave design criteria (e.g. [22]). Optimum utilization of satellite winds requires: (1) establishment of the accuracy and full dynamic range of the data and (2) the development of efficient methods that combine satellite and in situ winds into high resolution time and space forcing fields [92]. With few exceptions (e.g. SWADE IOP-1; [16]) errors in marine surface wind fields developed from conventional data remain sufficiently large to mask errors arising from uncertainties in the physics of wave models, thereby inhibiting further progress. Satellite winds offer a potent solution to the need for reference quality forcing fields and improved wave hindcasts and forecasts. While satellite estimates of surface marine winds have long been available, it was not until the assimilation of QuikSCAT’s Ku-band wide-swath scatterometer data that a truly global, accurate and reasonably long term record of marine vector winds was achieved.

SAR offers the potential of very fine resolution winds which is important in situations involving mesoscale features such as the eyes of hurricanes [86]. In addition to the limitations noted in section 3.2.3, data availability remains a problem; RADARSAT-1 and RADARSAT-2 data are available for limited users and TerraSAR-X is a commercial platform, also with limited data access for scientific use.

4.3. Impact on Surge Forecasting

Knowledge of the current and past wind (or stress) fields is essential for surge forecasting [105]. The winds used in surge models are forecast winds, which are greatly improved by observations from the recent past and the environment about the storm. Assimilation of observed winds improves the quality of the storm size and position of the model forecast. Furthermore, winds from the recent past can be very important for surge due to non-local processes [79].

4.4. Marine Nowcasting

Satellite wind data are used in the daily operations of all NOAA/NWS offices with marine warning and forecasting responsibilities. Ocean surface winds were derived from the passive SSM/I data since the early 1990s [113; 114], but with less resolution and wind intensity range than the QuikSCAT data. Satellite winds are routinely used to modify NWP output related to severe weather [109; 63; 18], with great positive impacts. Since QuikSCAT winds have been available in near real-time on analysts’ workstations, the number of short-term wind warnings issued by NOAA/OPC forecasters for the mid-latitude high seas waters have dramatically increased. In particular, hurricane force warnings were not issued for extratropical regions prior to QuikSCAT observations [109]. ASCAT winds in the North Atlantic are now made available within 30 minutes after sensing to aid nowcasting applications. However, ASCAT’s lesser coverage (coverage is
problematic for a single wide swath scatterometer such as QuikSCAT) and calibration to lower wind speeds where QuikSCAT reports hurricane force winds in extratropical storms is a problem for nowcasting.

4.5. Tropical Cyclone Forecasting

The usefulness of satellite winds in forecasting varies with regional differences in the availability of other types of observations (particularly aircraft reconnaissance) and regional practices [16]. The use of a satellite-based active microwave scatterometer, with QuikSCAT-like sampling is considered (in some forecast offices) essential to the analysis and understanding of the near ocean surface wind field about tropical cyclones (TCs). Near real-time knowledge of both wind speed and direction offers the regional tropical cyclone forecaster the ability to more accurately anticipate TC genesis, see the development of the inner and outer core winds or structure, and determine a ‘minimum estimate’ for a TC’s maximum sustained winds. This, in turn, provides a fundamental basis for improving TC forecasts and providing more timely and efficient warnings to the public.

Active sensors are favored due to the ability to retrieve winds through some rain. QuikSCAT observations have been found to be crucial in the evaluation of developing tropical systems. Accurate knowledge of the wind field around the tropical cyclone, such as how the winds extend from the storm center is critical information for emergency management. The spatial structure is also important for new kinetic energy-based metrics for estimating tropical cyclone wind and wave/surge destructive potential [90]. In the future, these new metrics might more accurately characterize large storms like Hurricane Ike which wreaked havoc well beyond its
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mere Category 2 status on the Saffir-Simpson Scale. In addition, several studies have made use of QuikSCAT data to examine circulation or vorticity about tropical disturbances [55], to examine various types of cyclone formation [98], and to use more accurate positioning techniques in conjunction with other remote sensing measurements, to better understand the movement and development of the TC from genesis to dissipation [27; 35]. OSVW interpretation can also be improved when the use of high resolution wind techniques [95] are employed (Fig. 3). These techniques often help overcome some of the sensitivity that the current automated methods have to ambiguous wind direction selection, especially in heavy rain and under poor geometric alignment. Previous techniques [27] required more labor intensive manual techniques to overcome. C-band scatterometers are much less adversely impacted by rain. Ocean vector winds are also useful for improving the accuracy of the environmental winds and the cyclone track forecast.

4.6. Ocean Model Forcing

Operationally, a wind forecast is often more useful than an analysis. In this application, satellite winds (vector and scalar) are very useful for improving the accuracy of the initial conditions used to begin the forecast. VW measurements are routinely used to constrain ocean state estimation; in for example, those produced by the Estimating the Circulation and Climate of the Ocean (ECCO) Consortium [119]. Determining spatially and temporally consistent forcing of the ocean on the eddy scale remains a challenge.

4.7. Currents

Ocean currents are a combination of geostrophic currents (associated with dynamic height) and Ekman currents associated with surface stress, which are closely related to satellite observations of equivalent neutral winds (e.g., [58; 59]). Ocean vector winds are critical for determining the Ekman component of the currents. As with other operational applications, all satellite winds, particularly vector winds, contribute to improving forecasts.

5. SCIENCE TOPICS

Wind products are used for an enormous range of ocean, atmospheric, and air/sea interaction studies. A bibliography of ocean vector wind studies is available at http://coaps.fsu.edu/scatterometry/bibliography/publications.shtml.

5.1. Air/Sea Surface Fluxes

The great importance of winds on fluxes of energy, moisture, momentum, and gases is described by [28]. Wind has the greatest range of relatively high frequency variability of all the observations that contribute to surface fluxes. The changes in wind are linked to changes in other variables such as SST (section 5.4) and atmospheric stability. This variability is highly correlated on the atmospheric synoptic scale [P. Hughes, personal communication, 2007], and is likely to be correlated for finer scales (e.g., diurnal). It is important to consider this mutual variability in the calculation of air/sea fluxes. Sufficient sampling to resolve this variability will be important to climate studies and many ocean modeling applications.

5.2. High Winds

High winds play a disproportionately large role in Earth's climate. Mid and high latitude, high wind events (cold air outbreaks) lasting several days, can remove what at typical wind speeds would be a month's worth of the ocean's heat and moisture, leading to the formation of "deep water" that helps drive global ocean circulation patterns. High winds also help exchange disproportionately large amounts of carbon dioxide.

Satellites do not measure 10-minute sustained winds, but rather instantaneous area-averaged winds. One expects 10-minute winds to be more extreme than satellite winds and guidance is required to "translate" scatterometer winds. This is especially true at high wind speeds (winds greater than 20 m/s) that are typically located in tropical cyclones, strong mid-latitude storms, and orographically enhanced regions [96; 78]. There are questions about how well scatterometers can measure high winds [28], with some results showing that scatterometers underestimate high winds [120; 122; 112]. High wind validation is limited due to the infrequency of such events often located in data-poor remote regions and the questionable measurements of buoys or ships in rough oceans due to wave sheltering. Consequently, most high wind validation and research has been focused on tropical cyclones [100; 39] and model functions have been developed specifically to obtain higher winds in these storms [121; 24; 1; 28]. Improved tropical cyclone forecasts have resulted from the availability of scatterometer data [46; 6; 15; 55] and an increase in hurricane and gale force wind forecasts in mid-latitude storms has resulted from routine use of scatterometer winds by weather forecasters [109; 20]. Recently, a monthly climatology of the frequency of high winds from QuikScat was made available [96].

5.3. Diurnal Variability

The diurnal variability of ocean wind can be substantial. It is of interest for ocean forcing (mixing and air/sea heat fluxes) as well as for wind power generation, and can also be linked to variability in cloud cover. Symmetric variability in wind results in a non-symmetry variability in stress and increases the mean stress. For example, mixing based on diurnal winds (vs. those smoothed over 24 hours) increases the depth of
the mixed layer and over a season can lower SSTs by more than 1°C, and cause increases in the mixed layer salinity [62]. Satellite sampling is currently insufficient for diurnal studies with spatially similar error characteristics, but could improve with better gridding or assimilation techniques and multi-instrument products [36; 70].

5.4. Response to SSTs

Scatterometer observations of surface winds and microwave observations of sea-surface temperature (SST) have revealed that SST exerts a strong influence on surface winds on scales smaller than ~1000 km. Winds are stronger over warm water and weaker over cold water (Fig. 4).

This ocean-atmosphere interaction is clearly evident in the surface winds in operational weather forecast models [73], but is underestimated by about a factor of two because of deficiencies in the parameterization of atmospheric vertical mixing [102]. Observations [68] and mesoscale models with sufficient sensitivity of vertical mixing to stability reveal that the SST influence extends throughout the troposphere in all of the dynamic fields, e.g., the vertical velocity (Fig. 4). Because the surface wind response to SST is too weak in the global forecast models, the response of tropospheric winds to SST is also underestimated. Ongoing efforts are utilizing scatterometer data to improve the forecast model responses to SST, both at the surface and in the troposphere.

In regions of tight SST gradients (e.g., near meandering fronts associated with ocean currents), SST-induced perturbations of the wind stress curl develop. Since the wind stress curl drives the large-scale ocean circulation, 2-way coupling (see section 2) between the ocean and atmosphere is expected in these frontal regions. Investigations are underway regarding the feedback effects of SST-induced perturbations of the surface wind stress on the ocean circulation. A study of 2-way ocean-atmosphere coupling in an idealized representation of an eastern boundary current system finds that most of the salient features of eastern boundary currents are either significantly affected by, or the direct result of, these feedback effects [50]. The 2-way coupling creates a strong positive wind stress curl within ~100 km of the coast, weakens the equatorward surface current, strengthens the poleward undercurrent, and reduces the growth rate of baroclinic instability and the magnitude of the eddy kinetic energy.

Figure 4. (Upper) Binned scatter plots of small-scale perturbations of wind stress magnitude as a function of SST for four regions of the World Ocean (after [73]). (Lower) The vertical velocity in an east-west section across the Agulhas Return Current region of the South Indian Ocean from a simulation with the Weather Research & Forecasting model. SST is shown in the bottom panel. The SST influence on tropospheric winds is complex, but can be clearly seen throughout the troposphere (from [102]).
5.5. Near Coastal Processes
Synoptic scale winds are very important for transporting riverine water from coastal shelves to the open ocean [80]. These findings suggest a link between the transport of nutrients and the finfish and shellfish life cycles and population. The upwelling associated with coastal wind variability also appears to be a very important part of the coastal ecosystem (e.g., [45]).

5.6. Western Boundary Currents
Western boundary currents have typical widths of about 100km with maximum currents of 2-3 m/s and SST gradients of about 10°C in 200km. To understand the contribution of these narrow, intense currents to air-sea interaction, the intensification of extratropical storms, and climate variability, it is necessary to resolve these spatial scales in the observations. Therefore, high-resolution satellite observations are critical to weather forecasting, climate research, and climate prediction. The measurements that have proved most valuable for studying ocean circulation and air-sea interaction in the WBCs are sea level (from the radar altimeter), wind speed and direction (from the scatterometer), and SST [23].

High-resolution scatterometer winds over the WBCs provide convincing evidence that WBCs are affecting the wind structure in the marine boundary layer [77; 67]. Although the winds are only for 10m height, their assimilation into NWPs is contributing to improved products that are more likely to reveal the details of air-sea interaction over the WBCs, as well as improved forecasts of storms.

5.7. ENSO and Atlantic Niño
Ocean surface vector winds are routinely used to forecast ENSO activity and Atlantic Niño [38], both of which are linked to rainfall in South America, and hence linked to river outflow. The depth of the thermocline in the tropical Pacific has been shown to be more accurately modeled when using QuikSCAT rather than NCEP products [49]. One outstanding ENSO-related issue is the source of a systematic discrepancy between QuikSCAT and TAO meridional winds; discrepancies in zonal winds correspond well to ocean currents [57]. Current ocean vector wind coverage is more than sufficient to capture the monthly changes in the wind patterns; however, it is insufficient to capture the strong 12 to 24 hour westerly wind bursts (the average equatorial sampling interval for QuikSCAT, for example, is 18 hours).

5.8. High Latitude Processes and Water Mass Formation
High latitudes have remarkably little in situ observations, particularly in the southern hemisphere [93]. In these regions, NWP products are strongly tied to the radiosonde network, with little agreement in the upper atmosphere between NWP products even one grid cell away from the radiosonde observations [59]. Therefore satellite observations of surface data are essential in the high latitudes. The interplay between ocean currents and upper-ice fluxes is a very important part of the high latitude climate [37]. The high latitude fluxes also contribute to deep water formation [78]. For such events, the very high wind speeds with cold air outbreaks make a very large contribution. Consequently, two outstanding issues for high latitude applications are the need for better temporal sampling and the need for calibration for high wind speeds.

5.9. Decadal Variability
ERS and QuikSCAT scatterometers together have collected almost 18 years of vector wind measurements, which greatly facilitate the study of decadal variability. Caution should be applied when using these data sets together: differences in calibration, rain impacts, and sampling cause differences in statistics. Nevertheless, based on scatterometer measurements, [61] identified a near-coherent change of decadal tendency in the wind field over much of the Indo-Pacific region, with the tendency in the 1990s being generally opposite in sign to that in the 2000s. In particular, the Pacific and Indian Ocean trade winds experienced anti-correlated decadal changes. They discussed the implications to the meridional and horizontal ocean circulations in relation to the atmospheric teleconnection and oceanic linkages.

6. FUTURE SATELLITE WIND OBSERVATIONS
Recent and current satellites are mentioned in section 3. Most of these satellites have been functioning for many years beyond expectations and replacement satellites must be ready soon or the climate quality data record will be broken. Some future satellites are listed below, with more shown in Fig. 5. A timeline of recent, current and future missions is shown in Fig. 5. International access to the data is often highly limited (Table 1).

OceanSat-2 Scatterometer of the Indian Satellite Research Organisation (ISRO);
HaiYang-2 (HY-2) Scatterometer;
Chinese-French Oceanographic Satellite (CFOSAT) rotating fan beam scatterometer;
Extended Ocean Vector Wind Mission (XOVWM) [84; 34; 48].
Dual Frequency Scatterometer (DFS) possibly on GCOM-W2
Table 1. Scat is scatterometer, Pass is passive microwave, Pol is passive polarimetric. Public indicates the data are freely available near real time (NRT) and science quality data, delayed indicates delayed mode science quality data, and limited indicates that access will be highly restricted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Heritage</th>
<th>Band(s)</th>
<th>Swath-width</th>
<th>Swath grid</th>
<th>Launch date</th>
<th>Global access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASCAT Series</td>
<td>Scat</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2x550 km</td>
<td>12.5 km</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OceanSat-2</td>
<td>Scat</td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>1840 km</td>
<td>50 km</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HY-2</td>
<td>Scat</td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>1700 km</td>
<td>25 km</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFOSAT</td>
<td>Scat</td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>870 km</td>
<td>50 km</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFS</td>
<td>Scat, Pass</td>
<td>Ku, C, Pass</td>
<td>1800 km</td>
<td>≤15 km</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XOVWM</td>
<td>Scat, Pol, SAR</td>
<td>Ku, C, Pol</td>
<td>1800 km</td>
<td>≤5 km</td>
<td>public</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post EPS</td>
<td>Scat</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2x550 km</td>
<td>12.5 km</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADARSAT 1</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>45-500 km</td>
<td>8-100 m</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADARSAT 2</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>45-500 km</td>
<td>3-100 m</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADARSAT Constellation</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>45-500 km</td>
<td>3-100 m</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel-1</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>400 km</td>
<td>40 m</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tandem-X</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>40 km</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TerraSAR-X-2</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>40 km</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Time line of recent, ongoing, planned and proposed wind sensing missions. Launched missions are those that were successfully put in orbit and functioned correctly. Ongoing missions have exceeded their planned operational life, but are still functioning. In development indicates that the sensor is under construction; planned indicates a commitment of funding; and proposed indicates a detailed mission concept without a commitment for full funding.
7. CONCLUSIONS

7.1 Challenges

The main challenges to satellite ocean wind measurement are (1) availability of data (preferably in near real time), (2) intercalibration of wind (vector and scalar) sensors, (3) insufficient sampling of natural variability (e.g., diurnal and inertial cycles), particularly for vector winds, (4) insufficient resolution and near coastal data for non-SAR instruments, (5) rain contamination (all weather retrievals), and (6) accuracy for high wind speeds (>20 ms\(^{-1}\)). Climate studies also require very small calibration drift; otherwise the challenges are similar for science and operations.

7.2 Science Requirements for Future Satellite Wind-Sensing Missions

The observational needs for operational and science activities are similar, except that the science applications would benefit more from better calibration, uniform reprocessing of data as needed, and better archiving of the data. Many operational centers in the southern hemisphere have difficulty working with satellite data transferred in the manner utilized by major weather prediction centers; consequently, they would also benefit from data provided in a common format that is easier to work with and could also be limited to specific regions of interest.

Specific goals quoted from [17] are:

- All-weather retrievals (i.e., accurate retrievals in rain)
- Accuracy levied upon the selected 10-meter, 1-minute sustained wind as defined by operational requirements for a 0–165 kt wind speed range:
  - 5–85 ms\(^{-1}\): speed ±1 ms\(^{-1}\) and direction ±10 degrees (2 sigma)
  - 2–5 ms\(^{-1}\): speed ±1 ms\(^{-1}\) and direction ±20 degrees (2 sigma)
  - 0–2 ms\(^{-1}\): speed ±1 ms\(^{-1}\)
- Revisit time interval (defined as the time interval between measurements at a particular point on the ocean surface): every 6 hours (1 to 3 hour goal).
- Reduced product latency: 45–60 minutes from measurement to product availability (15 minute goal)
- 2.5 km x 2.5 km grid spacing, which is defined as the spacing between unique wind vector retrievals (1 km x 1 km goal)
- Unique wind vector grid cells to within 2.5 km of the coast (1 km goal)
- Wind fields delivered into the operational environment
- Product documentation/tutorial/training

Future OVW measurements need to be sustained and with overlapping periods between sensors to allow for inter-calibration, as per GCOS guidelines. Many science activities and most climate activities require a careful study of the influence of rain on Ku and C-band instruments. The NASA Ocean Vector Wind Science Team has also found that users would benefit from better documentation of derived products, such as regularly gridded fields. Currently there is very little information about the resolution (not grid spacing), smoothing, noise, and inhomogeneity of characteristics for wind or derivative products such as curl. There is also a demand for multi-satellite blended wind/stress products with fine temporal resolution.

For some research activities, a goal for sampling is the resolution of the diurnal and inertial cycles. Note that the sampling goals cannot be achieved with a single satellite in low earth orbit. A constellation of satellites, with freely shared data, is essential to meeting the sampling goals (see section 3.3 and [119]).

The information content of scatterometer winds is grossly underutilized in present NWP models [20; 102]. Plausibly improved data assimilation could be developed to improve the usage of small-scale information.

The proposed DFS or XOVWM missions are a key component to achieving the intercalibration and time series goals. Recent studies show that a dual frequency scatterometer coupled with a radiometer is extremely effective for a very wide range of conditions. The sampling goals will require a constellation of earth orbiting satellites (CEOS), likely supported by a three or more scatterometers plus satellites with scalar wind measuring instruments. An International Ocean Vector Wind Science Team is being developed to help enhance international collaboration and aid in the calibration of new satellite scatterometers. For very near coastal work, in the short term, SAR best provides the desired information; however, access to SAR data has been quite limited.

7.3 Timeline and Issues

7.3.1 Short Term: 0 to 4 years

The launch of several new instruments is anticipated within the next few years: three scatterometers (OceanSat2, FY2, and CFOSAT), and two SARs (Tandem-X and TerraSAR-X-2). It is likely that several radiometers and QuikSCAT will fail.

Short-term issues will likely be a lack of continuity in the time series of operationally available Ku-band scatterometer observations, and a lack of intercalibration (for high wind speeds and rain) between wind sensors. The biases between instruments (e.g., C-band vs Ku-band) will limit the scope of decadal
variability studies. Rain-related errors in Ku and C-band scatterometers will remain too poorly understood and characterized for climate studies.

Early in this period there will be insufficient temporal sampling to study the vector aspects of the evolution of mesoscale features across the globe. If OceanSat2 (launched 23 Sept. 2009) and FY2 are successful missions and their data become available, and if the orbits are chosen to result in good temporal sampling with ASCAT, it will be possible to combine inter-calibrated data to globally examine mesoscale variability.

During this period we anticipate that the assimilation of surface winds in numerical weather prediction models will remain quite useful, although a reduction in sampling may reduce its impact. The observed information below a certain spatial scale will rapidly decay in forecasts. That scale will decrease as the resolution of models improves. For numerical weather prediction centers (such as ECMWF), the loss of QuikSCAT will reduce the impact of scatterometer data on forecast skill. Data from OceanSat-2 or HY2 could compensate for a loss of QuikSCAT if the accuracy of the data is sufficiently good and in case it is shared in near real time.

It is likely that QuikSCAT will be lost within the next few years. For the marine now-casting community and wave community, this will result in an 80 to 90% loss in detected hurricane force from extratropical cyclones; conditions for which many of the challenges mentioned in section 7.1 are relatively important. The impact of QuikSCAT winds on wave forecasting and nowcasting of extratropical storms is so striking that these communities request high priority should be given to replacement of this type of capability. Besides a reduced coverage, to date it is not clear whether a suitable inter-calibration between ASCAT and QuikSCAT would allow for a continuation of the retrievable wind speed range for extreme (>30 m/s) winds as available from QuikSCAT. Such intercalibration is very valuable to many climate, science, and operational applications.

7.3.2 Intermediate Term: 4 to 10 years

The launching of two new scatterometers (Post-EPS and DFS) is anticipated. It is also highly likely that satellites launched before this period will fail. Additional satellites are likely to be launched near the end of this period. The availability of collocated Ku and C band data from DFS, and rain rates from AMSR3 on the same satellite, will allow for climate quality inter-calibration with historical Ku and C band radars, enabling climate quality work on a 15 to 20 year time series.

It is anticipated that NWP and reanalysis resolution and parameterizations will increase to the point were surface wind data have a much greater impact, but are still under utilized.

7.3.3 Long Term: >10 years

It is plausible that air/sea turbulent heat fluxes will simultaneously be determined from satellite, and likely that finer resolution will enable observations closer to land. If the time series of climate quality observations is maintained, and the spatial/temporal sampling is sufficient, the time series will be long enough to investigate a great deal of ocean variability.
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